## Maryland Board of Pharmacy Public Board Meeting

## Meeting Minutes September 19, 2018

Name	Title	Present	Absent
Ashby, D.	Commissioner		
Bouyoukas, S.	Commissioner		
Evans, K.	Commissioner		
Hardesty, J.	Commissioner/Treasurer		
Laws Jr, A.	Commissioner		
Leikach, N.	Commissioner		X
Morgan, K.	Commissioner/President		
Oliver, B.	Commissioner		
Peters, R.	Commissioner		
Toney, R.	Commissioner/Secretary		
Yankellow, E.	Commissioner		
Bethman, L.	Board Counsel		
Felter, B.	Staff Attorney		
Speights-Napata, D.	Executive Director		
Fields, E.	Deputy Director of Operations		
Brand, E.	Licensing, Legislative and Regulation Manager		X
Evans, T.	Compliance Director		
Chew, C.	Management Associate		X

	Responsible		Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results

[ ·	1			
I. Executive	A.) K. Morgan,	Members of the Board with a conflict of interest		
Committee	<b>Board President</b>	relating to any item on the agenda are advised to		
Report(s)		notify the Board at this time or when the issue is		
		addressed in the agenda.		
		1. Call to Order 9:30 a.m.		
		2. Sign-in Introduction and of meeting		
		<b>attendees</b> – (Please indicate on sign-in sheet		
		if you are requesting CE Units for		
		attendance)		
		,		
		3. Distribution of Agenda and packet		
		materials		
	B.) R. Toney,	111111111111111111111111111111111111111		
	Secretary	4. Review and approve August 2018 Public	Motion by D. Ashby to approve	4. The Board voted to
	Secretary	Meeting Minutes	the August 2018 Public Meeting	approve this motion.
		Tylecting Tylinates	minutes; 2 <sup>nd</sup> S. Bouyoukas.	approve ans motion.
II. A. Executive	D. Speights-	1. Operations Updates	Innuces, 2 S. Bouyoukus.	
Director Report	Napata,	1. Operations opuates		
Director Report	Executive			
	Director	2. Meetings Update		
	Director	2. Weetings Opuate		
		I. Guest Speaker-Bill Cover, NABP	I. Bill Cover, Director of	
		1. Guest Speaker-Din Cover, NADr	Member Relations and	
			Government Affairs provided an	
			overview of NABP, and how	
			they assist Boards of Pharmacies	
			through their pharmacy	
			programs.	

	Responsible		Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results
		II. Tech-Check-Tech Survey	II. Following a discussion,	
		II. Tech-check-Tech Survey	motion by D. Ashby to move	
			forward with the survey; striking	
			question 13, produce a question	
			regarding setting and unit of	
			use/unit of dose, and remove	
			registration in question 3, replace	
			with validation; 2 <sup>nd</sup> by S.	
			Bouyoukas.	
			7 Yay	
			3 Opposed	
			Following a discussion, motion	
			by A. Laws, Jr to refer to the	
			Executive Committee for	
			proposed changes and seek a professional contractor 2 <sup>nd</sup> J.	
			Hardesty	
			Hardesty	
			7 Yay	
			3 Opposed	
			Обросси	
			III. T. Evans, Compliance	
		III. Inspector Robbery protocol	Director introduced the new	
			robbery protocol established for	
			inspectors to be implemented	
			after proper training.	

	Responsible		Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results

B. Operations Report	E. Fields, Deputy Director/ Operations	<ol> <li>Procurer         <ul> <li>Augus</li> </ul> </li> <li>Manager         <ul> <li>Unit Upo</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	st 2018 ment Ir	Financial formation	Statements		1a. A report on Board revenue was provided for the month of August. Board revenue is slightly higher due to distributor renewal season.	
C. Licensing D. Ashby/	D. Ashby/	1. Unit Upo						
	Commissioner	2. Monthly Statistics						
		License Type	New	Renewed	Reinstated	Total		
		Distributor	18	1	0	1,296		
		Pharmacy	19	0	0	2,089		
		Pharmacist	135	481	0	11,877		
		Vaccination	82	6	0	4,548		
		Pharmacy Intern - Graduates	4	0	0	53		

Subject	Responsible Party		Di	iscussion			Action Due Date (Assigned to)	Results
Subject  D. Compliance		Pharmacy Intern - Students Pharmacy Technician Pharmacy Technician Student  TOTAL  1. Unit Up 2. Monthl Complaints & New Complaint Disciplinary Ac Dispensing Erro Employee Pilfer Failure to Notify Professional Mi Inspection Issue Sterile Compou  Resolved (Inclu Actions within of Final disciplinar Summary Actio Average days to	30  154  1  443  Additional Arguer 1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1	373  0  872  872  Another and a taken – 2  24/40  ans taken – 2	1-40	9,834 6 30,638		Results

	Responsible		Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results
		Annual Inspections – 127 Opening Inspections - 8 Closing Inspections – 11 Relocation/Change of Ownership Inspections – 1 Board Special Investigation Inspections – 4		
E. Legislation & Regulations	E. Brand Licensing, Legislation and Regulations Manager	1. Proposed COMAR 10.34.05.05 Security Responsibilities		The Board voted to approve this motion
		2. Proposed COMAR 10.34.09 Fees  Legislation	2. This proposed regulation has been submitted to the Governor's Office for approval.	
		COMAR 10.34.32	For information purposes only. This regulation was published in the Maryland Register on September 14th for public comment until October 15 <sup>th</sup> .	

	Responsible		Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results

III. Committee Reports		Joseph F. Nusbaum		
A. Practice Committee	R. Peters, Chair	We are looking for guidance in situations where the physician is prescribing opioids for quantities that exceed the patient's insurance limits. The physicians are providing the patient with two prescriptions; one that the patient pays for out of pocket.		
		Is this an acceptable way to address the patient's needs without running afoul of the DEA? We are concerned that since the CRISP reports that the patient is getting medication both through insurance and cash that this doesn't look good.		
		We find ourselves in a conundrum about everyday opioid filling policies because the government seems to add new guideline and policies on a daily basis and we would like to have policies and procedures that meet or exceed what is expected of neighborhood pharmacy.		
		Response: In response to your inquiry, there are several options:  1. If the pharmacy's software allows, a single prescription should be treated as a "split" fill, i.e., the first fill will cover the amount covered by insurance; the second fill will cover the portion to be paid in cash. There will be only one prescription number. Both fills must be done at the same time. This is the preferred option.  2. If the pharmacy's software does not allow option 1, there should be two different prescriptions, one for the amount covered by insurance; the second would cover the amount paid in cash. The second prescription should have a "do not fill before" date	Motion by J. Hardesty to approve amended draft response to include the wording "and use professional judgement"; 2 <sup>nd</sup> by K. Evans.	The Board voted to approve this motion.

	Responsible		Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results
		and should be dispensed when the first prescription is		
		finished.		
		3. Same scenario as option 2; however, in this		
		instance, the patient is unable to make two trips to the		
		pharmacy. Write two prescriptions, one for the		
		amount covered by insurance, and one for the		
		remainder, and dispense both at the same time.		
		Regardless of the option chosen, the pharmacist		
		should be very careful to fully document the		
		circumstances on the prescription. Additionally, the		
		pharmacist should also see guidance from the DEA		
		with regard to federal law regarding this matter and		
		use professional judgement.		
		Dan Morrow		
		I have a question regarding Remote Automated		
		Medication Systems that I can't seem to come to a		
		conclusion from regulations.		
		We are trying to convice a hooping in notions unit that		
		We are trying to service a hospice in-patient unit that does not have a pharmacy on site. They have an		
		Omnicell that we would be supplying medications to,		
		reviewing prescriptions sent by the physicians, and		
		maintaining the operation machine. My question is,		
		are we able to give power of attorney to the nurses		
		that work at the in-patient unit to stock the machine if		
		a pharmacist prepares the medications and has visual		
		communication while the machine is being stocked?		
		Any guidance on this topic would be appreciated.		
		<b>Response</b> : In response to your inquiry, if the	Recommendation by Committee	The Board voted to approve
		pharmacy owns or leases the machine, a nurse may	to approve draft response; 2 <sup>nd</sup> J.	this motion.
		not stock it. A licensed pharmacist or registered pharmacy technician may stock the automated	Hardesty	
		pharmacy technician may stock the automated		1

	Responsible		Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results
Subject	Responsible Party	medication system as provided in COMAR 10.34.28.07.  Perry Shafner  Question on behalf of Greater Baltimore Medical Center (GBMC) Inpatient Pharmacy, with a question regarding the storage of patients' own medications.  When patients bring their own medications into our hospital, and are unable to send them home with a family member, our current process is to seal them in a bag, which is brought to the inpatient pharmacy for storage. However, we found that this process does not consistently result in the successful return of these medications to the patients upon discharge. The degree of accumulation of unclaimed personal medications in unacceptable to us, as it does not reflect the quality of care that our organization strives to provide our patients.  In order to more reliably return personal medications to our patients, we would like to streamline the process of storing patient belongings, by having all personal belongings, including medications, stored in our Security department. This way, nurses would have only one place.  Response: Please be advised that the Board of Pharmacy does not review internal security policies for institutional pharmacies. COMAR 10.34.03.08P	Recommendation by the Committee to approve draft	The Board voted to approve this motion.
			•	

	Responsible		Action Due Date		l
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results	l

	1			
B. Licensing Committee	D. Ashby, Chair	1. Review of Pharmacist Applications: NONE		
		2. Review of Pharmacy Intern Applications: NONE		
		3. Review of Pharmacy Technician Applications: NONE		
		4. Review of Distributor Applications: NONE		
		5. Review of Pharmacy Applications: NONE		
		6. Review of Pharmacy Technicians Training Programs: NONE		
		7. New Business:		
		a. DivvyDose-Company is requesting the Board allow for pharmacy to obtain a permit. Pharmacy previously (2016) submitted an application; however, the owner was an actively licensed Physician/Surgeon. The owner has since retired.  Licensing Committee Recommendation:  Deny. The owner's Physician license is still active.	a) Recommendation by Committee to deny; 2 <sup>nd</sup> by R. Toney	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		<b>b. Edward Bell-</b> Review for denial of CE request. <i>Licensing Committee Recommendation:</i> Approve for 2 CE hours.	b) Recommendation by Committee to approve 2 hours; 2 <sup>nd</sup> by S. Bouyoukas	The Board voted to approve this motion.

Subject	Responsible Party	Discussion	Action Due Date (Assigned to)	Results
		c. Hannah Jun-Review for denial of CE request. <u>Licensing Committee</u> <u>Recommendation:</u> Deny	c) Recommendation by Committee to deny; 2 <sup>nd</sup> by S. Bouyoukas	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		<ul> <li>d. Howard Minster-Review for denial of CE request. <u>Licensing Committee Recommendation</u>: Deny</li> <li>S. Bouyoukas recused</li> </ul>	d) Recommendation by Committee to deny; 2 <sup>nd</sup> by R. Peters	The Board voted to approve this motion.
		e. Heta Sheth-Pharmacist Sheth is requesting refund of law book cost of \$35. She claims that she never received the law book. Our records do not reflect that the book was returned. She took and passed the MPJE. Licensing Committee Recommendation: Approve	e) Recommendation by Committee to approve; 2 <sup>nd</sup> by R. Peters	The Board voted to approve this motion.
C. Public Relations Committee	E. Yankellow, Chair	<ul> <li>Public Relations Committee Update:</li> <li>The committee met on August 22<sup>nd</sup>. The CE Breakfast will be held on October 28<sup>th</sup> at the Sheraton North. This is a new location. Registration is on the Board's website. The registration fee for the breakfast is \$10.00. 145 in person guests and 44 webinar registrants are registered thus far.</li> </ul>		
		Topics are to include the opioid addiction, PDMP, and medication takeback. MDH Secretary Neall will be in attendance to provide greetings, and recognition of those		

	Responsible		Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results
,		<ul> <li>pharmacist who have been practicing for 60 years or more.</li> <li>Quarterly newsletter will include trends frequently observed during pharmacy inspections.</li> </ul>		
		The committee continues to review the working conditions survey. Once completed, an article will be drafted for the newsletter.		
D. Disciplinary	J. Hardesty, Chair	<ul> <li>Disciplinary Committee Update:</li> <li>Committee met September 5<sup>th</sup>.</li> <li>A confidential discussion will be held in the Board's Closed Public Session.</li> </ul>		
E. Emergency Preparedness Task Force	N. Leikach, Chair	Emergency Preparedness Task Force Update: NONE		
IV. Other Business & FYI	K. Morgan, President	<ul> <li>President, K. Morgan, provided an update of the Bi-annual Board Commissioner and Executive Director's meeting held on September 4<sup>th</sup>, with Mr. Schrader and Secretary Neall.</li> <li>President, K. Morgan, wished all pharmacists a Happy World Pharmacist Day.</li> </ul>		

	Responsible		Action Due Date	
Subject	Party	Discussion	(Assigned to)	Results
V. Adjournment	K. Morgan,	A. The Public Meeting was adjourned at 11:53	Motion to close the Public Board	The Board voted to approve
	President	A.M.	Meeting by D. Ashby; 2 <sup>nd</sup> by K.	this motion.
			Evans.	
		B. K. Morgan convened a Closed Public Session		
		to conduct a medical review committee		
		evaluation of confidential applications.		
		C. The Closed Public Session was adjourned. Immediately thereafter, K. Morgan, convened an Administrative Session for purposes of		
		discussing confidential disciplinary cases.		
		D. With the exception of cases requiring		
		recusals, the Board members present at the		
		Public Meeting continued to participate in the		
		Closed Public Session and the Administrative		
		Session.		